

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
BEFORE THE  
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE  
DOCKET NO. 2015-06**

**PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. WILLIAM H. BAILEY**

**IN SUPPORT OF THE  
APPLICATION OF NORTHERN PASS TRANSMISSION LLC  
AND PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY  
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF SITE AND FACILITY TO CONSTRUCT A NEW  
HIGH VOLTAGE TRANSMISSION LINE AND RELATED FACILITIES IN  
NEW HAMPSHIRE**

**October 16, 2015**

1 **Qualifications and Purpose of Testimony**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is William H. Bailey. I am employed by Exponent, Inc. (Exponent), a  
4 scientific and engineering firm, in an office located in the Maryland Science and Technology  
5 Center at 17000 Science Drive, Suite 200, Bowie, Maryland, 20715.

6 **Q. What is your position at Exponent?**

7 A. I am a Principal Scientist in the Center for Occupational and Environmental  
8 Health Risk Assessment in Exponent's Health Sciences Practice.

9 **Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.**

10 A. My practice specializes in the health sciences and, more specifically, in human  
11 exposure and risk assessment. My work involves reviewing, analyzing, and conducting health  
12 research. Much of my work relates to the exposures and potential biological, environmental, and  
13 health effects associated with electrical facilities, such as transmission lines and substations, and  
14 with electrified railroad lines, including the possible effects of electric and magnetic fields  
15 ("EMF"). In the course of this work, I work with and supervise professionals in diverse health,  
16 engineering, and environmental practices, mentor junior scientists and engineers, and direct  
17 scientific research and data collection.

18 **Q. Please summarize your education and academic research and teaching**  
19 **experience.**

20 A. I earned a Ph.D. in neuropsychology from the City University of New York in  
21 1975. My education includes a BA from Dartmouth College, awarded in 1966, and an MBA  
22 from the University of Chicago, awarded in 1969. With the support of the U.S. National  
23 Institutes of Health I received two years of additional postdoctoral training in neurochemistry at  
24 The Rockefeller University in New York City. After this training I conducted research for seven  
25 years as an Assistant Professor at The Rockefeller University in the field of neurochemistry.  
26 Since 1986, I have been a visiting research scientist at the Cornell University Weill Medical  
27 College. I also have been a visiting lecturer at Rutgers University, the University of Texas (San  
28 Antonio), and the Harvard School of Public Health. From 1983 through 1987, I was head of the  
29 Laboratory of Neuropharmacology and Environmental Toxicology at the New York State  
30 Institute for Basic Research.

1           **Q.     Are you a member of any professional organizations?**

2           A.     I am a member of the Rockefeller University Chapter of Sigma XI, a national  
3 scientific honor society; the Health Physics Society; the International Committee on  
4 Electromagnetic Safety, Subcommittees 3 and 4 – Safety Levels with Respect to Human  
5 Exposure to Fields; the Bioelectromagnetics Society; the Engineering in Medicine and Biology  
6 Society of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (“IEEE”); the Conseil  
7 International des Grands Réseaux Électriques; the American Association for the Advancement of  
8 Science; the New York Academy of Sciences; the Society for Neuroscience; the Air & Waste  
9 Management Association; the Society for Risk Analysis; and the International Society for  
10 Exposure Analysis.

11           **Q.     Have you served as a reviewer and scientific advisor on health-related issues  
12 for state and federal agencies or scientific organizations?**

13           A.     Yes. I have reviewed research for the National Institutes of Health, the National  
14 Science Foundation, and other government agencies. Specifically regarding transmission lines, I  
15 served on a Scientific Advisory Panel convened by the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board  
16 to review the health and safety aspects of a high-voltage transmission line. In addition, I served  
17 as a consultant on transmission line health and safety issues to the Vermont Department of  
18 Public Service, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, and the staffs of  
19 the Maryland Public Service Commission and the Maryland Department of Natural Resources.

20           I have worked with the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, the Oak  
21 Ridge National Laboratories, the U.S. Department of Energy, and the Federal Railroad  
22 Administration to review and evaluate health issues related to EMF from other sources. I also  
23 assisted the U.S. EMF Research and Policy Information Dissemination (“RAPID”) program to  
24 evaluate biological and exposure research as part of its overall risk assessment process.

25           I worked with scientists from 10 countries to evaluate possible hazards from exposures to  
26 static and extremely low frequency (“ELF”) EMF<sup>1</sup> for the International Agency for Research in  
27 Cancer (“IARC”), a division of the World Health Organization (“WHO”) located in Lyon,  
28 France. I also was an invited participant in the workshop convened by the International

---

<sup>1</sup> ELF EMF also is referred to as power frequency EMF or simply EMF.

1 Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (“ICNIRP”) to update guidelines for human  
2 exposures to alternating current (“AC”) EMF. I have reviewed ICNIRP’s draft guidelines for  
3 direct current (“DC”) and AC magnetic fields as well.

4 Most recently, I have served as an advisor to government agencies in Canada and the  
5 Netherlands on topics relating to scientific research on EMF health and safety.

6 **Q. Have you published or presented your research in bioelectromagnetics and**  
7 **other areas to the scientific community?**

8 A. I have published or presented more than 50 scientific papers on this and related  
9 subjects. These publications and presentations are listed in my *curriculum vitae*, attached as  
10 Attachment A.

11 **Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to summarize my human health and safety  
13 assessment of the EMF associated with the operation of the Northern Pass Transmission Project  
14 (“Northern Pass” or the “Project”) proposed by Northern Pass Transmission, LLC (“NPT”), and  
15 to assess whether EMF associated with the Project would result in an unreasonable adverse effect  
16 on public health and safety.

17 **Q. What is the scope of your assessment?**

18 A. I evaluated the potential effects of the proposed Northern Pass lines on humans,  
19 livestock, wildlife, and plants. My assessment included an analysis of the entire Project,  
20 including the ±320-kilovolt (kV) DC transmission line from the Québec/New Hampshire border  
21 to the DC/AC converter terminal in Franklin, New Hampshire; and (2) the 345-kV AC  
22 transmission line between the Franklin converter terminal and the substation in Deerfield, New  
23 Hampshire, and the existing 115-kV AC transmission lines or lower voltage distribution lines  
24 along the Project route. My evaluation focused on the magnetic fields, electric fields, and  
25 electric charges in the air (space charge) associated with the operation of these facilities. The  
26 calculated values of their levels and distribution around the Project are provided in the pre-filed  
27 testimony of Dr. Gary Johnson and the technical report he is sponsoring. See Appendix #38.

28 My evaluation of these calculated exposures and the current scientific knowledge about  
29 their potential to affect human health and the biological environment is contained in Appendix  
30 37 of the application and is summarized below.

1 **Methods for Assessment**

2 **Q. What do scientists know about these exposures?**

3 A. First, we know a great deal about these exposures because they are found  
4 everywhere in our everyday environment. Static (i.e., DC) fields and charges have always been  
5 a part of our natural environment. AC fields, like those associated with portions of the Project,  
6 have been a part of our environment since the early 20th century when the use of electricity came  
7 into common use.

8 Second, we also know how fields and electric charges interact with objects in the  
9 environment and this knowledge is a key component of assessing potential effects.

10 Finally, because research on the potential effects of electrical exposures on humans,  
11 animals, and plants has been conducted for over a century, there is a wealth of knowledge about  
12 the potential biological and health effects of these exposures.

13 **Q. What criteria did you use to assess potential Project effects?**

14 A. My assessment of the potential effects of the Project on public health and safety is  
15 based on current scientific knowledge as summarized in published research, scientific reviews by  
16 national and international agencies, and specifically the guidelines and standards established by  
17 these agencies. These guidelines and standards serve as criteria for the assessment of AC and  
18 static electric fields, as well as AC and static magnetic fields. No such established criterion for  
19 the assessment of space charge was identified.

20 **Q. What were the steps in your assessment?**

21 A. My assessment took into account multiple sources of information. First, I  
22 reviewed previous assessments of the scientific research conducted by scientists for both  
23 scientific and government agencies, and the relevant standards and guidelines for exposure.  
24 Next, I compared the effect of the Project on the potential exposure of adjacent populations and  
25 the environment as compared to their background exposure levels derived from other sources.  
26 Finally, I searched and reviewed the scientific literature to identify new relevant research that  
27 might shed light on potential mechanisms of interaction with organisms and effects on their  
28 biology, health, and behavior to assess the cumulative weight of the evidence, as is customarily  
29 done for health risk assessments.

30

1                    **Summary of Assessment Relevant to Proposed AC Transmission Lines**

2                    **Q.     How do AC electric fields interact with organisms?**

3                    A.     While an AC electric field can oscillate charges on the surface of the body, the  
4 inside of the body is significantly shielded from external AC electric fields because of the high  
5 conductivity of the tissues. Thus, the electric field inside the body is roughly 1,000,000 times  
6 weaker than an external electric field.

7                    **Q.     How do AC magnetic fields interact with organisms?**

8                    A.     AC magnetic fields are not perturbed by the presence of a conducting body;  
9 therefore, the field inside of the body is the same as on the outside. The presence of AC  
10 magnetic fields can induce weak electric fields and currents in the body.

11                   **Q.     What are the potential effects of surface charges and internal electric fields?**

12                   A.     Charges accumulated on the body surface may discharge to lower potential,  
13 grounded objects and may be perceived as micro-shocks (similar in nature to carpet shocks).  
14 Internal electric fields and current densities, at high levels, may result in stimulation of excitable  
15 tissues, such as nerve and muscle. These effects may occur at very high field levels and are  
16 immediate and reversible.

17                   **Q.     Are there any standards or guidelines for AC electric and magnetic fields?**

18                   A.     There are no federal standards in the United States or Canada for 60-Hertz (Hz)  
19 EMF exposures. There is no guideline limiting levels of EMF from transmission lines in New  
20 Hampshire.

21                   There are guidelines, however, developed by international scientific agencies to protect  
22 the public and workers from established biological effects of these fields. There are two  
23 internationally recognized agencies that develop guidelines for these fields: ICNIRP and the  
24 International Committee for Electromagnetic Safety (“ICES”), a committee of the IEEE. To set  
25 exposure guidelines, both of these organizations first carefully reviewed the relevant scientific  
26 literature to identify any potential adverse effects and the exposure levels where these effects  
27 may be observed. Then, they set exposure limits well below the exposure levels at which  
28 adverse effects were identified. The number they used to reduce the adverse effect level to an  
29 acceptable exposure limit is called a safety factor and was used to account for scientific

1 uncertainty and variability and for a potentially higher sensitivity of some subgroups of the  
2 human population.

3 ICNIRP published updated limits in 2010. For the general population, the Basic  
4 Restriction or ceiling limit on the electric field induced in the central nervous system of the head  
5 by a 60-Hz electric field or magnetic field is 0.024 volts per meter (V/m). ICES has  
6 recommended a Basic Restriction of 0.0178 V/m in the head for exposure to 60-Hz magnetic or  
7 electric fields.<sup>2</sup>

8 The exposures to EMF that are calculated to produce internal electric fields equal to the  
9 most conservative Basic Restriction, the ICES limit, are 9,146 milligauss (mG)<sup>3</sup> and 26.8  
10 kilovolts per meter (kV/m) (Kavet et al., 2010).

11 **Q. How do the AC electric and magnetic fields calculated for the project**  
12 **compare to the basic restrictions in these guidelines?**

13 A. The magnetic field at the full-rating of the proposed 345-kV AC line and the  
14 electric field at a 5% overvoltage of these conductors for all segments of the proposed route are  
15 well below the ICNIRP and ICES Basic Restrictions as described above. The magnetic field at  
16 the edges of the right-of-way (“ROW”) along the route will vary between 0.1 mG and 92 mG  
17 except for an approximately 2000-foot segment where the magnetic field on one side of the  
18 ROW is calculated to be 127 mG. The electric field at the edges of the ROW will vary between  
19 0.0 to 1.7 kV/m except for the approximately 2000-foot segment where the maximum electric  
20 field on one ROW edge will be 2.7 kV/m.

21 **Q. Have potential long-term effects of AC EMF been studied and considered as**  
22 **well?**

23 A. Yes. Since the 1970s, numerous scientific studies have examined the potential for  
24 long- term effects of exposure to EMF. These studies include studies of human populations, that  
25 is, epidemiologic studies, and laboratory studies of animals, tissues, and cells. These scientific

---

<sup>2</sup> Despite the widespread description of ICNIRP’s reference values or ICES’s maximum permissible exposure values as exposure limits, they are just screening values. Measured values below these screening values are specified as complying with the Basic Restrictions, but higher exposures are permitted if it can be shown that the electric field *in situ* does not exceed the Basic Restrictions identified above.

<sup>3</sup> One milligauss (mG) = 0.001 Gauss (G).

1 investigations examined the potential link of both cancer and non-cancer outcomes among  
2 children and adults with occupational and residential exposures.

3 To evaluate whether the scientific evidence overall suggests the existence of any potential  
4 long-term effects, the relevant scientific literature needs to be evaluated in its entirety.  
5 Individual studies may be subject to chance variation, potential biases, and confounding due to  
6 limitations in the study design, conduct of the study, or in the analyses and interpretation of the  
7 results. Thus, scientifically valid conclusions about potential effects may not be drawn from  
8 individual studies. An overall assessment of the evidence for scientific and health agencies is  
9 done by multi-disciplinary scientific panels, due to the large number and complexity of these  
10 scientific studies.

11 **Q. What reviews of EMF research have been performed and what are their**  
12 **conclusions regarding human health?**

13 A. A number of expert panels convened on behalf of scientific, health, and  
14 government agencies have evaluated the available scientific literature on potential EMF effects.  
15 These agencies include the U.S. National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences  
16 (“NIEHS”) in 1998, the IARC in 2002, the National Radiological Protection Board of Great  
17 Britain in 2004, the WHO in 2007, ICNIRP in 2010, and the European Commission’s Scientific  
18 Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (“SCENIHR”) in 2015. None of  
19 these agencies concluded that the evidence, overall, suggests the existence of any adverse health  
20 effects in association with environmental exposure to EMF below scientifically-established  
21 exposure guidelines. While these agencies recognized the limited evidence based on a statistical  
22 association in some of the childhood leukemia epidemiologic studies, they point out that other  
23 factors such as chance, bias, and confounding could not be excluded as an explanation for the  
24 association. These agencies also concluded that the association is not supported by the results of  
25 lifetime exposure studies of laboratory animals that have not identified excess cancer of any type  
26 related to the level of exposure to magnetic fields. In addition, there is currently no known  
27 biophysical mechanism that would explain a potential carcinogenic effect of EMF.

28 With respect to the overall evidence on potential long-term effects, the WHO currently  
29 states on its website that “[b]ased on a recent in-depth review of the scientific literature, the  
30 WHO concluded that current evidence does not confirm the existence of any health



1 consequences from exposure to low level electromagnetic fields.”<sup>4</sup> ICNIRP has also considered  
2 the scientific literature on potential long-term effects and stated that “[i]t is the view of ICNIRP  
3 that the currently existing scientific evidence that prolonged exposure to low frequency magnetic  
4 fields is causally related with an increased risk of childhood leukemia is too weak to form the  
5 basis for exposure guidelines. In particular, if the relationship is not causal, then no benefit to  
6 health will accrue from reducing exposure” (ICNIRP, 2010, p. 824).

7 **Q. Have potential AC EMF effects on animals been investigated?**

8 A. Yes. As part of the human health effects research, a large number of studies have  
9 been conducted using various laboratory animal species, most commonly rodents, such as rats  
10 and mice. No consistent or convincing evidence has emerged from these studies to support the  
11 existence of any health effects. Overall, the WHO classified evidence from laboratory animal  
12 studies as “inadequate” for any potential health effects. These findings, similar to the way they  
13 were used in human health risk assessments, may be extrapolated to other animal species, such  
14 as domestic animals and wildlife, thus providing no support for any potential effects.

15 A considerable amount of scientific research has been conducted involving livestock,  
16 although in a less systematic manner. Both observational and experimental studies on livestock  
17 were conducted, mostly prompted by economic considerations, and these studies primarily  
18 investigated outcomes of reproduction, milk production, and growth. The most commonly-  
19 studied species included cattle, sheep, and swine. Among farm animals, the most systematic  
20 research program studied behavioral, reproductive, and productivity parameters in dairy cattle at  
21 McGill University in Québec, Canada. These studies exposed dairy cattle to AC electric fields  
22 (up to 10 kV/m) and AC magnetic fields (up to 300 mG) separately and in combination. While  
23 some of the studies reported small differences in some of the investigated parameters, these  
24 differences were within physiological ranges and showed no consistent pattern. Overall, no  
25 consistent or convincing evidence has emerged to support any adverse effects in livestock.

26 Studies conducted in the 1980s with commercial honeybees reported reduced hive weight  
27 and increased mortality among bees exposed to electric fields above 4.1 kV/m. Later studies,  
28 however, demonstrated that these results were due to indirect effects, attributable to small shocks

---

<sup>4</sup> <http://who.int/peh-emf/about/WhatisEMF/en/index1.html>

1 induced by the electric fields on the metallic components of the hives and not direct effects of the  
2 electric fields on bees themselves. These indirect effects may be easily prevented by shielding  
3 the hives with a grounded metallic cover over the hives or by using hives without metallic  
4 components. More recent studies of native bees in AC transmission line corridors indicated no  
5 adverse effects of EMF on bee abundance, diversity, larval development, or behavior such as  
6 floral visitation and pollination success. There were also more spatially and numerically rare  
7 species and richer bee communities in AC transmission line corridors than at the grassy fields  
8 away from transmission lines.

9 **Q. Were potential AC EMF effects on plants investigated?**

10 A. Yes. Both laboratory and field studies have been conducted to examine potential  
11 effect of EMF from transmission lines on plants, including agricultural crops and trees, and  
12 forest and woodland vegetation. These investigations include studies of seed germination,  
13 seedling emergence and growth, leaf area per plant, flowering, seed production, longevity, and  
14 biomass production. While the results were variable, no consistent pattern for potential effects  
15 were observed. Overall, no confirmed adverse effects on plants were reported due to EMF  
16 exposure at levels that could be expected in the vicinity of the proposed transmission lines.

17 **Summary of Assessment Relevant to the Proposed DC Transmission Line**

18 **Q. What are the conclusions of health and scientific agencies regarding static  
19 magnetic and electric fields and space charge?**

20 A. None of the reviews conducted by the following agencies concluded that exposure  
21 to static electric and magnetic fields and space charge at levels associated with the proposed  
22 project would pose a likely health threat to the public.

- 23 • International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)
- 24 • International Commission on Nonionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP)
- 25 • International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (ICES)
- 26 • National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB)
- 27 • Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks  
28 (SCENIHR)
- 29 • U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
- 30 • World Health Organization (WHO)

1           **Q.     Are the projected levels of static electric and magnetic fields from the**  
2 **Northern Pass DC line below recommended limits on human exposure in guidelines?**

3           A.     Yes. Neither the U.S. federal government nor any state has proposed standards or  
4 guidelines for static electric fields and space charge. ICNIRP (2009) and the FDA (2003) have  
5 limits on exposure to static magnetic fields. For the general public the ICNIRP limit is 4,000  
6 Gauss (G). The exposure limits for adults and children to static magnetic fields from magnetic  
7 resonance (MRI) imaging scanners are 40,000 G and 80,000 G, respectively. The NRPB (2004)  
8 noted that static electric-field exposures above 25 kV/m were associated with annoyance from  
9 perception of surface charge on the skin. The maximum levels of the static electric and magnetic  
10 fields on the Project's ROW and beyond are below the exposure levels recommended by these  
11 agencies and organizations.

12           **Q.     Even if the static electric and magnetic fields from the Northern Pass DC line**  
13 **are below levels cited by the agencies referenced above, are they outside the range of our**  
14 **common exposures?**

15           A.     No. We all experience a naturally-occurring static electric field of about  
16 0.13 kV/m under normal atmospheric conditions; as storm fronts approach, this can increase to  
17 20 – 40 kV/m. Static electric fields are also found in offices and homes—such as the static  
18 charges that occur when walking across a carpet and the static charges on clothing; these indoor  
19 sources of static electric fields are closer and stronger sources of electric-field exposures (100 –  
20 500 kV/m). In contrast, the calculated maximum static electric field at the edge of the right-of-  
21 way in fair weather is  $\leq 5.7$  kV/m, and will increase to  $\leq 8.8$  kV/m during foul weather.

22           The earth is the dominant source of a naturally-occurring static magnetic field, which  
23 causes a compass needle to point to the magnetic north pole. The intensity of this geomagnetic  
24 field in New Hampshire is approximately 530 mG. Other common sources of static magnetic  
25 fields in the range of 3,000 – 10,000 mG include permanent magnets (which are found in  
26 appliances, toys, and medical devices) and battery-powered appliances. Lower static magnetic  
27 fields  $< 3,000$  mG are associated with DC-powered electrified railway systems. Far higher  
28 magnetic fields are produced by MRI scanners and certain industrial processes. The maximum  
29 calculated static magnetic field contributed by the DC line at full-rated loading at the edge of the  
30 right-of-way is calculated to be  $\leq 79$  mG for the overhead portion of the route and  $\leq 58$  mG at

1 25 feet from the centerline for portions of the underground route. Thus, the magnetic field from  
2 the line is lower here and away from the line than that of the earth; however, depending upon the  
3 orientation of the Project's DC line with respect to the earth's magnetic field, the magnetic field  
4 from the line can either add to the earth's field or partially cancel the earth's field.

5 **Q. How do static magnetic fields interact with organisms and what are their**  
6 **effects?**

7 A. Static magnetic fields at very high intensities are known to interact with tissue by  
8 several mechanisms. None of these mechanisms, however, predict harm from exposures at the  
9 low intensities of static magnetic fields associated with the earth's geomagnetic field or the  
10 proposed DC transmission line. Reviews by several scientific and health agencies do not report  
11 that static magnetic fields have adverse effects at environmental levels. Even exposures to  
12 magnetic fields from conventional MRI scanners and experimental MRI scanners at levels  
13 15,000 times greater than static magnetic fields from the earth or the Project's DC line are  
14 reported to have few direct effects in short-term studies. Even higher intensity static magnetic  
15 fields have been tested on animals and for longer durations. In general, biological effects were  
16 most clearly elicited by static magnetic fields at intensities above 1 Tesla (T) (10,000 G).

17 **Q. How do static electric fields interact with organisms and what are their**  
18 **effects?**

19 A. Static electric fields affect the distribution of surface charge on the body, but do  
20 not enter it to any significant degree. At sufficiently high levels the field can be perceived by the  
21 movement of hair on the body such as that produced by a very faint breeze. The electric field  
22 from the Project's DC line would be too weak to be easily detected by most people even under  
23 the line. If a person contacts a large vehicle (e.g., a tractor trailer), under the line that is very  
24 well insulated from ground, he or she might perceive a microshock, similar to what a person  
25 might experience after shuffling across a carpet and touching a metal object. Published studies  
26 of static electric fields on experimental animals were reviewed, but it cannot be concluded from  
27 these studies that any observed biological effects from single studies were due to direct  
28 biological effects of the field at levels relevant to those of the project. Indirect effects resulting  
29 from stimulation of body fur are the most plausible explanation for responses reported above 30  
30 kV/m.

1           **Q.     Are the space charge levels from the Project's DC line outside the range of**  
2 **common experience?**

3           A.     No. The levels of air ions calculated at the edge of the ROW of the DC line are  
4 within the range of levels that can be encountered in the environment naturally and from varying  
5 technologies.

6           Electrical charges in the air are formed by many natural energy sources. These sources  
7 include charges formed by the earth and its atmosphere as well as energy released by evaporation  
8 (e.g., boiling water in a tea kettle, which produces 1,000,000 – 10,000,000 ions per cubic  
9 centimeter [ $\text{cm}^3$ ]), friction from blowing dust or snow, flames, and weather events. These  
10 positive and negative charges on gas molecules are quickly surrounded by clusters of water  
11 molecules and do not persist very long (i.e., tens of seconds) before they are neutralized by  
12 molecules carrying the opposite charge or when the charge is transferred to microscopic solid or  
13 liquid particles in the air (aerosols). Together, air ions and charged aerosols are referred to as  
14 space charge. Positive air ions and aerosols result from air molecules or particles that have lost  
15 electrons; negative air ions or aerosols are air molecules and particles that have picked up the  
16 excess electrons.

17           Air ions are present everywhere in our environment. For example, clean rural air  
18 typically contains about 500 to 2,000 small positive air ions/ $\text{cm}^3$  and slightly fewer small  
19 negative air ions; negative ion levels can rise to 5,000 – 20,000 ions/ $\text{cm}^3$  near waterfalls. In large  
20 towns, levels up to 80,000 air ions/ $\text{cm}^3$  have been measured. The presence of raindrops, insects,  
21 and other material on the DC transmission line and the 345-kV AC transmission line conductors  
22 will accelerate corona activity and space charge production. Corona only occurs if the gradient  
23 of the electric field at the conductor surface exceeds a certain threshold or onset value. During  
24 fair weather, the corona activity on the proposed line will be sporadic, but will be fairly  
25 continuous in foul weather. While corona is present on conductors of both DC and AC  
26 transmission lines, corona activity leads to negligible levels of space charge from AC  
27 transmission lines because, once created, most all air ions are attracted back to the conductor  
28 when its polarity changes during each cycle.

29

1           **Q.     Has much research has been done on space charge?**

2           A.     Yes, many studies have been done, mostly to investigate possible therapeutic  
3 applications to favorably affect mood and respiratory conditions. No scientific or regulatory  
4 agency has determined that space charge poses a threat to health or the environment. No  
5 mechanism has been confirmed by which air ions or the charge on aerosols would have direct  
6 effects on the body, but like static electric fields, space charge at very high levels can be  
7 perceived by hair stimulation.

8           Two recent comprehensive reviews of human subject studies, including meta-analyses of  
9 similar studies, have reported on these topics—neither found consistent evidence for effects of  
10 either positive or negative ions, except for the possible reduction in indicators of depression at  
11 levels more than 10 – 20 fold greater than the maximum levels calculated to be associated with  
12 Northern Pass. This response, however, was not related to the duration of exposure. To  
13 complement these reviews of human studies, a comprehensive review of more than 50 animal  
14 studies in 9 different topic areas was performed. Altogether, the research provided no consistent  
15 or reliable evidence that air ions or associated charged aerosols caused any biological responses  
16 or adverse effects on the health of the animals. Many of the studies suffer from various reporting  
17 and methodological deficiencies.

18           A potential mechanism for an indirect effect of air ions was evaluated. If air ions were to  
19 sufficiently charge passing aerosols, then a small increase in the deposition of aerosols in the  
20 respiratory tract might be predicted. The prevalence of electric charges on aerosol particles,  
21 however, is similar across a wide range of environments, including around DC and AC  
22 transmission lines, and the number of charges per particle calculated or measured around higher  
23 voltage DC lines is too low to enhance deposition of aerosols in the respiratory tract.

24           The Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation has recommended that air ions be  
25 increased in indoor environments up to 50,000 ions/cm<sup>3</sup>, but the basis for this recommendation,  
26 except to improve air quality, was not provided.

27           **Q.     Has research been done on potential effects of static electric and magnetic**  
28 **fields and space charge on livestock, wild animals, and plants?**

29           A.     Yes. Comprehensive experimental field studies around DC transmission lines  
30 operating at ±400 kV and ±500 kV have not reported adverse effects on cattle or crops. A

1 systematic assessment of plants and animals around a  $\pm 400$  kV DC transmission line reported  
2 increases and decreases in some populations, but was unable to conclude from this assessment  
3 whether the observations reflected a change in the physical habitat or the electrical environment.  
4 Other laboratory studies do not indicate that the weak magnetic field from the DC line would  
5 adversely affect species that can make use of the geomagnetic field for orientation or navigation.  
6 Field and laboratory studies do not indicate that the electric field from the line would be high  
7 enough to affect plants and the beneficial effects on plant growth suggested by some studies of  
8 magnetic fields only appeared at levels thousands of times greater than would be produced by the  
9 Project's DC line.

### 10 Conclusion

11 **Q. On the basis of your assessment, please summarize your conclusions and**  
12 **assess the potential for health effects from the Project.**

13 A. My evaluation considered exposures to electric and magnetic fields, static electric  
14 and magnetic fields, and space charge that will be associated with the operation of the Project  
15 and existing transmission and distribution lines. At the edges of the ROW and beyond, these  
16 exposures are within the ranges commonly encountered from other sources. The levels of fields  
17 from the Project's 345 kV AC transmission line and the DC line, and space charge from the  
18 latter, are all below applicable limits in guidelines designed to protect public health. My  
19 evaluation additionally considered the mechanisms by which these exposures may interact with  
20 organisms and involved the review of the scientific studies of humans, experimental animals,  
21 livestock, wild animals, and plants. Neither this review nor the reviews of the literature  
22 performed for scientific and health agencies identified mechanisms of interaction or exposures at  
23 the levels associated with the electrical environment of the Project that would predict any likely  
24 harm to public health or the environment. The mostly likely effect, if it occurs at all, would be  
25 non-adverse perception of electric fields.

26 **Q. Is it your testimony that the Project's AC and DC lines would not pose an**  
27 **unreasonable adverse effect on public health and safety?**

28 A. Yes, in my judgement the weight of the scientific evidence clearly supports that  
29 conclusion that the Project would not pose an unreasonable adverse effect to public health and

1 safety. Moreover, that judgement is shared by scientific and health agencies that also have  
2 reviewed the scientific evidence.

3 **Q. Have you seen the draft environmental impact statement released by the**  
4 **department of energy for the project?**

5 A. Yes. The findings are consistent with my testimony.

6 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

7 A. Yes, it does.





Exponent  
17000 Science Drive  
Suite 200  
Bowie, Maryland 20715

telephone 301-291-2500  
facsimile 301-291-2599  
www.exponent.com

## **ATTACHMENT A**

**William H. Bailey, Ph.D.**

**Principal Scientist**

### **Professional Profile**

Dr. William H. Bailey is a Principal Scientist in Exponent's Health Sciences practice. Dr. Bailey specializes in applying state-of-the-art assessment methods to environmental and occupational health issues. His 30 years of training and experience include laboratory and epidemiologic research, health risk assessment, and comprehensive exposure analysis. Dr. Bailey has investigated exposures to alternating current, direct current, and radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, 'stray voltage', and electrical shock, as well as to a variety of chemical agents and air pollutants. He is particularly well known for his research on potential health effects of electromagnetic fields and has served as an advisor to numerous state, federal, and international agencies. Currently, he is involved in research on exposures to marine life from submarine cables and respiratory exposures to ultrafine- and nanoparticles. Dr. Bailey is a visiting scientist at the Cornell University Medical College and has lectured at Rutgers University, the University of Texas (San Antonio), and the Harvard School of Public Health. He was formerly Head of the Laboratory of Neuropharmacology and Environmental Toxicology at the New York State Institute for Basic Research, Staten Island, New York, and an Assistant Professor and NIH postdoctoral fellow in Neurochemistry at The Rockefeller University in New York.

## **Academic Credentials and Professional Honors**

Ph.D., Neuropsychology, City University of New York, 1975

M.B.A., University of Chicago, 1969

B.A., Dartmouth College, 1966

Sigma Xi; The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers/International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (Subcommittee 3, Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Fields (0 to  $\infty$  kHz) and Subcommittee 4, Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Fields (3 kHz to 3 GHz); Elected member of the Committee on Man and Radiation (COMAR) of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 1998–2001

## **Publications**

Chang ET, Adami H-O, Bailey WH, Boffetta P, Krieger RI, Moolgavkar SH, Mandel JS. Validity of geographically modeled environmental exposure estimates. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 2014 May; 44:450–466. doi: 10.3109/10408444.2014.902029.

Alexander DD, Bailey WH, Perez V, Mitchell ME, Su S. Air ions and respiratory function outcomes: A comprehensive review. *J Negat Results Biomed* 2013 Sep 9; 12(1):14. doi: 10.1186/1477-5751-12-14.

Perez V, Alexander DD, Bailey WH. Air ions and mood outcomes: A review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 2013 Jan 15; 13(1):29. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-13-29.

Bailey WH, Johnson GB, Bishop J, Hetrick T, Su S. Measurements of charged aerosols near  $\pm 500$  kV DC transmission lines and in other environments. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery* 2012; 27:371–379.

Shkolnikov YP, Bailey WH. Electromagnetic interference and exposure from household wireless networks. 2011 IEEE Symposium on Product Compliance Engineering (PSES), October 1–5, 2011.

Kavet R, Bailey WH, Bracken TD, Patterson RM. Recent advances in research relevant to electric and magnetic field exposure guidelines. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2008; 29:499–526.

Bailey WH, Wagner M. IARC evaluation of ELF magnetic fields: Public understanding of the  $0.4\mu\text{T}$  exposure metric. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology* 2008; 18:233–235.

Bailey WH, Erdreich L. Accounting for human variability and sensitivity in setting standards for electromagnetic fields. *Health Physics* 2007; 92:649–657.

Bailey WH, Nyenhuis JA. Thresholds for 60-Hz magnetic field stimulation of peripheral nerves in human subjects. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2005; 26:462–468.

Bracken TD, Senior RS, Bailey WH. DC electric fields from corona-generated space charge near AC transmission lines. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery* 2005; 20:1692–1702.

Bailey WH. Dealing with uncertainty in formulating occupational and public exposure limits. *Health Physics* 2002; 83:402–408.

Bailey WH. Health effects relevant to the setting of EMF exposure limits. *Health Physics* 2002; 83:376–386.

Kavet R, Stuchly MA, Bailey WH, Bracken TD. Evaluation of biological effects, dosimetric models, and exposure assessment related to ELF electric- and magnetic-field guidelines. *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 2001; 16:1118–1138.

Bailey WH. ICNIRP recommendation for limiting public exposure to 4 Hz–1 kHz electric and magnetic fields. *Health Physics* 1999; 77:97–98.

Bailey WH. Principles of risk assessment with application to current EMF risk communication issues. In: *EMF Risk Perception and Communication*. Repacholi MH, Muc AM (eds), World Health Organization, Geneva, 1999.

De Santo RS, Bailey WH. Environmental justice tools and assessment practices. *Proceedings, American Public Transit Association*, 1999.

Bailey WH, Su SH, Bracken TD. Probabilistic approach to ranking sources of uncertainty in ELF magnetic field exposure limits. *Health Physics* 1999; 77:282–290.

Bailey WH. Field parameters. Proceedings, EMF Engineering Review Symposium, Status and Summary of EMF Engineering Research. Bracken TD and Montgomery JH (eds), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, April 28–29, 1998.

Bailey WH. Policy implications. Proceedings, EMF Engineering Review Symposium, Status and Summary of EMF Engineering Research. Bracken TD and Montgomery JH (eds), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, April 28–29, 1998.

Bailey WH. Probabilistic approaches to deriving risk-based exposure guidelines: Application to extremely low frequency magnetic fields. In: Non-Ionising Radiation. Dennis JA and Stather JW (eds), Special Issue of Radiation Protection Dosimetry 1997; 72:327–336.

Bailey WH, Su SH, Bracken TD, Kavet R. Summary and evaluation of guidelines for occupational exposure to power frequency electric and magnetic fields. Health Physics 1997; 73:433–453.

Bracken TD, Senior RS, Rankin RF, Bailey WH, Kavet R. Magnetic field exposures in the electric utility industry relevant to occupational guideline levels. Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 1997; 12:756–768.

Blondin J-P, Nguyen D-H, Sbeghen J, Goulet D, Cardinal C, Maruvada P-S, Plante M, and Bailey WH. Human perception of electric fields and ion currents associated with high voltage DC transmission lines. Bioelectromagnetics 1996; 17:230–241.

Bailey WH, Charry JM. Acute exposure of rats to air ions: Effects on the regional concentration and utilization of serotonin in brain. Bioelectromagnetics 1987; 8:173–181.

Bailey WH, Charry JM. Measurement of neurotransmitter release and utilization in selected brain regions of rats exposed to dc electric fields and atmospheric space charge. Proceedings, 23<sup>rd</sup> Hanford Life Sciences Symposium, Interaction of Biological Systems with Static and ELF Electric and Magnetic Fields, 1987.

Pavildes C, Aoki C, Chen J-S, Bailey WH, Winson J. Differential glucose utilization in the parafascicular region during slow-wave sleep, the still-alert state and locomotion. *Brain Research* 1987; 423:399–402.

Bailey WH, Charry JM. Behavioral monitoring of rats during exposure to air ions and DC electric fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1986; 7:329–339.

Charry JM, Shapiro MH, Bailey WH, Weiss JM. Ion-exposure chambers for small animals. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1986; 7:1–11.

Charry JM, Bailey WH. Regional turnover of norepinephrine and dopamine in rat brain following acute exposure to air ions. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1985; 6:415–425.

Bracken TD, Bailey WH, Charry JM. Evaluation of the DC electrical environment in proximity to VDTs. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A* 1985; 20:745–780.

Gross SS, Levi R, Bailey WH, Chenouda AA. Histamine modulation of cardiac sympathetic responses: A physiological role. *Federation Proceedings* 1984; 43:458.

Gross SS, Guo ZG, Levi R, Bailey WH, Chenouda AA. 1984. Release of histamine by sympathetic nerve stimulation in the guinea pig heart and modulation of adrenergic responses. *Circulation Research* 1984; 54:516–526.

Dahl D, Bailey WH, Winson J. Effect of norepinephrine depletion of hippocampus on neuronal transmission from perforant pathway through dentate gyrus. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 1983; 49:123–135.

Guo ZG, Gross SS, Levi R, Bailey WH. Histamine: Modulation of norepinephrine release from sympathetic nerves in guinea pig heart. *Federation Proceedings* 1983; 42:907.

Bailey WH. Biological effects of air ions on serotonin metabolism: Fact and fancy. pp. 90–120. In: Conference on Environmental Ions and Related Biological Effects. Charry JM (ed), American Institute of Medical Climatology, Philadelphia, PA, 1982.

Weiss JM, Goodman PA, Losito BG, Corrigan S, Charry JM, Bailey WH. Behavioral depression produced by an uncontrollable stressor: Relationship to norepinephrine, dopamine, and serotonin levels in various regions of rat brain. *Brain Research Reviews* 1981; 3:167–205.

Bailey WH. Ion-exchange chromatography of creatine kinase isoenzymes: A method with improved specificity and sensitivity. *Biochemical Medicine* 1980; 24:300–313.

Bailey WH, Weiss JM. Evaluation of a ‘memory deficit’ in vasopressin-deficient rats. *Brain Research* 1979; 162:174–178.

Bailey WH, Weiss JM. Effect of ACTH 4-10 on passive avoidance of rats lacking vasopressin (Brattleboro strain). *Hormones and Behavior* 1978; 10:22–29.

Pohorecky LA, Newman B, Sun J, Bailey WH. Acute and chronic ethanol injection and serotonin metabolism in rat brain. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 1978; 204:424–432.

Koh SD, Vernon M, Bailey WH. Free-recall learning of word lists by prelingual deaf subjects. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 1971; 10:542–574.

### **Book Chapters**

Bailey WH. Principles of risk assessment and their limitations. In: *Risk Perception, Risk Communication and its Application to EMF Exposure*. Matthes R, Bernhardt JH, Repacholi MH (eds), International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, Oberschleißheim, Germany, 1998.

Bailey WH. Biological responses to air ions: Is there a role for serotonin? pp. 151–160. In: *Air Ions: Physical and Biological Aspects*. Charry JM and Kavet R (eds), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1987.

Weiss JM, Bailey WH, Goodman PA, Hoffman LJ, Ambrose MJ, Salman S, Charry JM. A model for neurochemical study of depression. pp. 195–223. In: *Behavioral Models and the Analysis of Drug Action*. Spiegelstein MY, Levy A (eds), Elsevier Scientific, Amsterdam, 1982.

Bailey WH. Mnemonic significance of neurohypophyseal peptides. pp. 787–804. In: *Changing Concepts of the Nervous System*. Morrison AR, Strick PL (eds), Academic Press, New York, NY, 1981.

Bailey WH, Weiss, JM. Avoidance conditioning and endocrine function in Brattleboro rats. Pp 371–395. In: *Endogenous Peptides and Learning and Memory Process*. Martinez JL, Jensen RA, Messing RB, Rigter H, McGaugh JL (eds), Academic Press, New York, NY, 1981.

Weiss JM, Glazer H, Pohorecky LA, Bailey WH, Schneider L. Coping behavior and stress-induced behavioral depression: Studies of the role of brain catecholamines. pp. 125–160. In: *The Psychobiology of the Depressive Disorders: Implications for the Effects of Stress*. Depue R (ed), Academic Press, New York, NY, 1979.

### **Technical Reports**

Normandeau, Exponent, Tricas T, Gill A. Effects of EMFs from undersea power cables on elasmobranchs and other marine species. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement, Pacific OCS Region, Camarillo, CA. OCS Study BOEMRE 2011-09, May 2011.

Jardini JA, et al. Electric field and ion current environment of HVDC overhead transmission lines. Report of Joint Working Group B4/C3/B2.50, CIGRÉ, August 2011.



Johnson GB, Bracken TD, Bailey WH. Charging and transport of aerosols near AC transmission lines: A literature review. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA, 2003.

Bailey WH. Probabilistic approach to ranking sources of uncertainty in ELF magnetic-field exposure limits. In: Evaluation of Occupational Magnetic Exposure Guidelines, Interim Report, EPRI Report TR-111501, 1998.

Bracken TD, Bailey WH, Su SH, Senior RS, Rankin RF. Evaluation of occupational magnetic-field exposure guidelines; Interim Report. EPRI Report TR-108113, 1997.

Bailey WH, Weil DE, Stewart JR. HVDC Power Transmission Environmental Issues Review. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1996.

Bailey WH. Melatonin responses to EMF. Proceedings, Health Implications of EMF Neural Effects Workshop, Report TR-104327s, EPRI, 1994.

Bailey WH. Recent neurobiological and behavioral research: Overview of the New York State powerlines project. In: Power-Frequency Electric and Magnetic Field Research, EPRI, 1989.

Bailey WH, Bissell M, Dorn CR, Hoppel WA, Sheppard AR, Stebbings, JH. Comments of the MEQB Science Advisors on Electrical Environment Outside the Right of Way of CU-TR-1, Report 5. Science Advisor Reports to the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board, 1986.

Bailey WH, Bissell M, Brambl RM, Dorn CR, Hoppel WA, Sheppard AR, Stebbings JH. A health and safety evaluation of the +/- 400 KV powerline. Science Advisor's Report to the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board, 1982.

Charry JM, Bailey WH, Weiss JM. Critical annotated bibliographical review of air ion effects on biology and behavior. Rockefeller University, New York, NY, 1982.

Bailey WH. Avoidance behavior in rats with hereditary hypothalamic diabetes insipidus. Dissertation, City University of New York, 1975.

### **Selected Invited Presentations**

Bailey WH. Measurements of charged aerosols around DC transmission lines and other locations. International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety TC95/ Subcommittee 3: Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields, 0 – 3 kHz, December 2011.

Bailey WH, Erdreich LS. Human sensitivity and variability in response to electromagnetic fields: Implications for standard setting. International Workshop on EMF Dosimetry and Biophysical Aspects Relevant to Setting Exposure Guidelines. International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, Berlin, March 2006.

Bailey WH. Research-based approach to setting electric and magnetic field exposure guidelines (0-3000 Hz). IEEE Committee on Electromagnetic Safety, December 2005.

Bailey WH. Conference Keynote Presentation. Research supporting 50/60 Hz electric and magnetic field exposure guidelines. Canadian Radiation Protection Association, Annual Conference, Winnipeg, June 2005.

Bailey WH. Scientific methodology for assessing public health issues: A case study of EMF. Canadian Radiation Protection Association, Annual Conference, Public Information for Teachers, Winnipeg, June 2005.

Bailey WH. Assessment of potential environmental effects of electromagnetic fields from submarine cables. Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering, Long Island Sound Bottomlands Symposium: Study of Benthic Habitats, July 2004.

De Santo RS, Coe M, Bailey WH. Environmental justice assessment and the use of GIS tools and methods. National Association of Environmental Professionals, 27<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference, Dearborn, MI, June 2002.

Bailey WH. Applications to enhance safety: Research to understand and control potential risks. Human Factors and Safety Research, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center/Dutch Ministry of Transport, Cambridge, MA, November 2000.

Bailey WH. EMF health effects review. EMF Exposure Guideline Workshop, Brussels Belgium, June 2000.

Bailey WH. Dealing with uncertainty when formulating guidelines. EMF Exposure Guideline Workshop, Brussels Belgium, June 2000.

Bailey WH. Field parameters: Policy implications. EMF Engineering Review Symposium, Status and Summary of EMF Engineering Research, Charleston, SC, April 1998.

Bailey WH. Principles of risk assessment: Application to current issues. Symposium on EMF Risk Perception and Communication, World Health Organization, Ottawa, Canada, August 1998.

Bailey WH. Current guidelines for occupational exposure to power frequency magnetic fields. EPRI EMF Seminar, New Research Horizons, March 1997.

Bailey WH. Methods to assess potential health risks of cell telephone electromagnetic fields. IBC Conference—Cell Telephones: Is there a Health Risk? Washington, DC, June 1997.

Bailey WH. Principles of risk assessment and their limitations. Symposium on Risk Perception, Risk Communication and its Application to EMF Exposure, International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, Vienna, Austria, October 1997.

Bailey WH. Probabilistic approach for setting guidelines to limit induction effects. IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 28: Non-Ionizing Radiation, Subcommittee 3 (0–3 kHz), June 1997.

Bailey WH. Power frequency field exposure guidelines. IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 28: Non-Ionizing Radiation, Subcommittee 3 (0–3 kHz), June 1996.

Bailey WH. Epidemiology and experimental studies. American Industrial Hygiene Conference, Washington, DC, May 1996.

Bailey WH. Review of 60 Hz epidemiology studies. EMF Workshop, Canadian Radiation Protection Association, Ontario, Canada, June 1993.

Bailey WH. Biological and health research on electric and magnetic fields. American Industrial Hygiene Association, Fredrickton, New Brunswick, Canada, October 1992.

Bailey WH. Electromagnetic fields and health. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Bethlehem, PA, January 1992.

Bailey WH, Weiss JM. Psychological factors in experimental heart pathology. Visiting Scholar Presentation, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, March 1977.

### **Presentations**

Williams AI, Bailey WH. Toxicologic assessment of air ion exposures in laboratory animals. Poster presentation at 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology, Phoenix, AZ, March 26, 2014.

Perez V, Alexander DD, Bailey WH. Air ions and mood outcomes: A review and meta-analysis. Poster presentation at the American College of Epidemiology, Chicago, IL, September 8–11, 2012.

Shkolnikov Y, Bailey WH. Electromagnetic interference and exposure from household wireless networks. Product Safety Engineering Society Meeting, San Diego, CA October 2011.

Nestler E, Trichas T, Pembroke A, Bailey W. Will undersea power cables from offshore wind projects affect sharks? North American Offshore Wind Conference & Exhibition, Atlantic City, NJ, October 2010.

Nestler E, Pembroke A, Bailey W. Effects of EMFs from undersea power lines on marine species. Energy Ocean International, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, June 2010.

Pembroke A, Bailey W. Effects of EMFs from undersea power cables on elasmobranchs and other marine species. Windpower 2010 Conference and Exhibition, Dallas, TX, 2010.

Bailey WH. Clarifying the neurological basis for ELF guidelines. Workshop on Practical Implementation of ELF and RF Guidelines. The Bioelectromagnetics Society 29<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, Kanazawa, Japan, June 2007.

Sun B, Urban B, Bailey W. AERMOD simulation of near-field dispersion of natural gas plume from accidental pipeline rupture. Air and Waste Management Association: Health Environments: Rebirth and Renewal, New Orleans, LA, June 2006.

Bailey WH, Johnson G, Bracken TD. Method for measuring charge on aerosol particles near AC transmission lines. Joint Meeting of The Bioelectromagnetics Society and The European BioElectromagnetics Association, Dublin Ireland, June 2005.

Bailey WH, Bracken TD, Senior RS. Long-term monitoring of static electric field and space charge near AC transmission Lines. The Bioelectromagnetics Society, 26<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, Washington, DC, June 2004.

Bailey WH, Erdreich L, Waller L, Mariano K. Childhood leukemia in relation to 25-Hz and 60-Hz magnetic fields along the Washington DC—Boston rail line. Society for Epidemiologic Research, 35<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, Palm Desert CA, June 2002. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2002; 155:S38.

Erdreich L, Klauenberg BJ, Bailey WH, Murphy MR. Comparing radiofrequency standards around the world. Health Physics Society 43rd Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, MN, July 1998.

Bracken TD, Senior RS, Rankin RF, Bailey WH, Kavet R. Relevance of occupational guidelines to utility worker magnetic-field exposures. Second World Congress for Electricity and Magnetism in Biology and Medicine, Bologna, Italy, June 1997.

Weil DE, Erdreich LS, Bailey WH. Are 60-Hz magnetic fields cancer causing agents? Mechanisms and Prevention of Environmentally Caused Cancers, The Lovelace Institutes 1995 Annual Symposium, La Fonda, Santa Fe, NM, October 1995.

Bailey WH. Neurobiological research on extremely-low-frequency electric and magnetic fields: A review to guide future research. Sixteenth Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, Copenhagen, Denmark, June 1994.

Blondin J-P, Nguyen D-H, Sbeghen J, Maruvada PS, Plante M, Bailey WH, Goulet D. The perception of DC electric fields and ion currents in human observers. Annual Meeting of the Canadian Psychological Association, Penticton, British Columbia, Canada, June 1994.

Erdreich LS, Bailey WH, Weil DE. Science, standards and public policy challenges for ELF fields. American Public Health Association 122nd Annual Meeting, Washington, DC, October 1994.

Bailey WH, Charry JM. Particle deposition on simulated VDT operators: Influence of DC electric fields. 10<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, June 1988.

Charry JM, Bailey WH. Contribution of charge on VDTs and simulated VDT operators to DC electric fields at facial surfaces. 10<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, June 1988.

Bailey WH, Charry, JM. Dosimetric response of rats to small air ions: Importance of relative humidity. EPRI/DOE Contractors Review, November 1986. Charry JM, Bailey WH, Bracken TD (eds). DC electric fields, air ions and respirable particulate levels in proximity to VDTs. International Conference on VDTs and Health, Stockholm, Sweden, June 12–15 1986.

Charry JM, Bailey WH. Air ion and DC field strengths at 10<sup>4</sup> ions/cm<sup>3</sup> in the Rockefeller University Small Animal Exposure Chambers. EPRI/DOE Contractors Review, November 1985.

Charry JM, Bailey WH. DC Electrical environment in proximity to VDTs. 7th Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, June 1985.

Bailey WH, Collins RL, Lahita RG. Cerebral lateralization: Association with serum antibodies to DNA in selected bred mouse lines. Society for Neuroscience, 1985.

Kavet R, Bailey WH, Charry JM. Respiratory neuroendocrine cells: A plausible site for air ion effects. Seventh Annual Meeting of The Bioelectromagnetics Society, June 1985.

Bailey WH, Charry JM. Measurement of neurotransmitter release and utilization in selected brain regions of rats exposed to DC electric fields and atmospheric space charge. 23rd Hanford Life Sciences Symposium, Richland, WA, October 1984.

Bailey WH, Charry JM, Weiss JM, Cardle K, Shapiro M. Regional analysis of biogenic amine turnover in rat brain after exposure to electrically charged air molecules (air ions). Society for Neuroscience, 1983.

Bailey WH. Biological effects of air ions: Fact and fancy. American Institute of Medical Climatology Conference on Environmental Ions and Related Biological Effects, October 1982.

Goodman PA, Weiss JM, Hoffman LJ, Ambrose MJ, Bailey WH, Charry, JM. Reversal of behavioral depression by infusion of an A2 adrenergic agonist into the locus coeruleus. Society for Neuroscience, November 1982.

Charry JM, Bailey WH. Biochemical and behavioral effects of small air ions. Electric Power Research Institute Workshop, April 1981.

Bailey WH, Alonson DR, Weiss JM, Chin S. Predictability: A psychologic/ behavioral variable affecting stress-induced myocardial pathology in the rat. Society for Neuroscience, November 1980.

Salman SL, Weiss JM, Bailey WH, Joh TH. Relationship between endogenous brain tyrosine hydroxylase and social behavior of rats. Society of Neuroscience, November 1980.

Bailey WH, Maclusky S. Appearance of creatine kinase isoenzymes in rat plasma following myocardial injury produced by isoproterenol. Fed Assoc Soc Exp Biol, April 1978.

Bailey WH, Maclusky S. Appearance of creatine kinase isoenzymes in rat plasma following myocardial injury by isoproterenol. Fed Proc 1978; 37:889.

Bailey WH, Weiss JM. Effect of ACTH 4-10 on passive avoidance of rats lacking vasopressin (Brattleboro strain). Eastern Psychological Association, April 1976.

### **Prior Experience**

President, Bailey Research Associates, Inc., 1991–2000

Vice President, Environmental Research Information, Inc., 1987–1990



Head of Laboratory of Environmental Toxicology and Neuropharmacology, New York State Institute for Basic Research, 1983–1987

Assistant Professor, The Rockefeller University, 1976–1983

### **Academic Appointment**

- Visiting Fellow, Department of Pharmacology, Cornell University Medical College, New York, NY, 1986–present

### **Prior Academic Appointments**

- Visiting Scientist, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, 1984–1985
- Head, Laboratory of Neuropharmacology and Environmental Toxicology, NYS Institute for Basic Research in Developmental Disabilities, Staten Island, NY, 1983–1987
- Assistant Professor, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, 1976–1983
- Postdoctoral Fellow, Neurochemistry, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, 1974–1976
- Dissertation Research, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, 1972–1974
- CUNY Research Fellow, Dept. of Psychology, Queens College, City University of New York, Flushing, NY, 1969–1971
- Clinical Research Assistant, Department of Psychiatry, University of Chicago; Psychiatric Psychosomatic Inst., Michael Reese Hospital, and Illinois State Psychiatric Inst, Chicago, IL, 1968–1969

## **Teaching Appointments**

- Lecturer, University of Texas Health Science Center, Center for Environmental Radiation Toxicology, San Antonio, TX, 1998
- Lecturer, Harvard School of Public Health, Office of Continuing Education, Boston, MA, 1995, 1997
- Lecturer, Rutgers University, Office of Continuing Education, New Brunswick, NJ, 1991–1995
- Adjunct Assistant Professor, Queens College, CUNY, Flushing, NY, 1978
- Lecturer, Queens College, CUNY, Flushing, NY, 1969–1974

## **Editorship**

- Associate Editor, Non-Ionizing Radiation, *Health Physics*, 1996–present

## **Advisory Positions**

- RWTH Aachen University. Workshop on human perception thresholds in static electric fields from high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission lines, 2015
- ZonMw – Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development, 2012; 2007-2008, reviewer for National Programme on EMF and Health
- US Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement, 2009–2010
- Canadian National Collaborating Centre for Environmental Health, reviewer of Centre reports, 2008
- Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission, province of Prince Edward Island, Canada, 2008
- National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/ National Institutes of Health, Review Committee, Neurotoxicology, Superfund Hazardous Substances Basic Research and Training Program, 2004

- National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Review Committee Role of Air Pollutants in Cardiovascular Disease, 2004
- Working Group on Non-Ionizing Radiation, Static and Extremely Low-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2000–2002
- Working Group, EMF Risk Perception and Communication, World Health Organization, 1998–2005
- Member, International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety, Subcommittee 3 - Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Fields (0 to 3 kHz) and Subcommittee 4 - Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure (3kHz to 3GHz) Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), 1996–present
- Invited participant, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences EMF Science Review Symposium: Clinical and In Vivo Laboratory Findings, 1998
- Working Group, EMF Risk Perception and Communication, International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, 1997
- U.S. Department of Energy, RAPID EMF Engineering Review, 1997
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1996
- American Arbitration Association International Center for Dispute Resolution, 1995–1996
- U.S. Department of Energy, 1995
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 1994–1995
- Federal Rail Administration, 1993–1996
- U.S. Forest Service, 1993
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, 1993
- National Science Foundation
- National Institutes of Health, Special Study Section—Electromagnetics, 1991–1993
- Maryland Public Service Commission and Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Scientific Advisor on health issues pertaining to HVAC Transmission Lines, 1988–1989

- Scientific advisor on biological aspects of electromagnetic fields, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA, 1985–1989
- U.S. Public Health Service, NIMH: Psychopharmacology and Neuropsychology Review Committee, 1984
- Consultant on biochemical analysis, Colgan Institute of Nutritional Science, Carlsbad, CA, 1982–1983
- Behavioral Medicine Abstracts, Editor, animal behavior and physiology, 1981–1983
- Consultant on biological and behavioral effects of high-voltage DC transmission lines, Vermont Department of Public Service, Montpelier, VT, 1981–1982
- Scientific advisory committee on health and safety effects of a high-voltage DC transmission line, Minnesota Environmental Quality Board, St. Paul, MN, 1981–1982
- Consultant on biochemical diagnostics, Biokinetix Corp., Stamford, CT, 1978–1980

### **Professional Affiliations**

- The Health Physics Society (Affiliate of the International Radiation Protection Society)
- Society for Risk Analysis
- International Society of Exposure Analysis
- New York Academy of Sciences
- American Association for the Advancement of Science
- Air and Waste Management Association
- Society for Neuroscience/International Brain Research Organization
- Bioelectromagnetics Society
- The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers/Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society
- Conseil International des Grands Réseaux Électriques

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
BEFORE THE  
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE  
DOCKET NO. 2015- 06**

**PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GARY B. JOHNSON, Ph.D.**

**IN SUPPORT OF THE  
APPLICATION OF NORTHERN PASS TRANSMISSION LLC  
AND PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY  
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF SITE AND FACILITY TO CONSTRUCT A NEW  
HIGH VOLTAGE TRANSMISSION LINE AND RELATED FACILITIES IN  
NEW HAMPSHIRE**

**October 16, 2015**